Rollet, A. P. & Vullard, G. (1956). C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 243, 383.

RUPERT, F. (1910). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 32, 748.

SENKO, M. E. (1957). Acta Cryst. 10, 385.

SIEMONS, W. J. & TEMPLETON, D. H. (1954). Acta Cryst. 7, 194.

Acta Cryst. (1959). 12, 832

SWANSON, H. E., FUYAT, R. K. & UGRINIC, G. M. (1954). National Bureau of Standards Circular, 539, Vol. III, 24.

TEMPLETON, D. H. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 771.

WALDRON, R. D. & HORNIG, D. F. (1953). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 75, 6079.

# X-ray Study of Solid Ammonia\*

BY IVAR OLOVSSON<sup>†</sup> AND DAVID H. TEMPLETON

Lawrence Radiation Laboratory and Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley, California, U.S.A.

(Received 21 April 1959)

Ammonia and deutero-ammonia have been studied by single-crystal and powder X-ray methods. There are four molecules in the cubic unit cell, and the space group is confirmed to be  $P2_13$ . The nitrogen parameter obtained by least-squares refinement is equal to 0.0401 for NH<sub>3</sub> at  $-102^{\circ}$  C. and the same for ND<sub>3</sub> at  $-160^{\circ}$  C. Cell dimensions, determined by powder methods, are: a = 5.084 Å for NH<sub>3</sub> at  $-196^{\circ}$  C., 5.073 Å for ND<sub>3</sub> at  $-196^{\circ}$  C., 5.091 Å for ND<sub>3</sub> at  $-160^{\circ}$  C., and 5.138 Å for NH<sub>3</sub> at  $-102^{\circ}$  C. Each ammonia molecule is involved in six hydrogen bonds of approximate length 3.4 Å. A possible assignment of the hydrogen atoms to these bonds is given. Electron-density maps give evidence of hydrogen positions with coordinates (0.85, 0.14, 0.99), in agreement with this assignment, but with hydrogen off the bond axis, making the H-N-H angles more nearly tetrahedral.

# Introduction

Earlier X-ray work on solid ammonia was done by powder methods. Reported values of the unit-cell dimension vary considerably, and new determinations by powder methods have been done on ordinary ammonia at -102 and -196 °C. and on deuteroammonia at -160 and -196 °C. A single-crystal study has been undertaken to improve our knowledge of the atomic positions. The single-crystal work has been done on ordinary ammonia at -102 °C. and on deutero-ammonia at -160 °C. All the present work is concerned with the cubic form, as the new lowsymmetric modification (Mauer & McMurdie, 1958) does not form under the conditions of these experiments.

### Experimental

The ammonia and deutero-ammonia were distilled twice in a vacuum system and sealed in glass capillaries (diameter 0.1 to 0.2 mm.). The deutero-ammonia had, according to the specifications accompanying the cylinder, been made from magnesium nitride and 99.5% D<sub>2</sub>O. The vacuum system was filled with deutero-ammonia and evacuated many times to eliminate most of the impurities containing hydrogen which would otherwise exchange with the heavy ammonia. In the mass-spectrometric analysis of samples from the original cylinder the mole percentage of  $ND_2H$ decreased gradually to below 9% after about 30 repeated fillings and evacuations of the spectrometer. This can be considered to correspond to a content of hydrogen of less than 3%. Samples from the X-ray capillaries gave less than 4% hydrogen. The effect on the unit-cell dimension due to this impurity is well within the limits of accuracy stated in this work.

The single crystals were grown in the ordinary way in a modified Weissenberg camera (Olovsson & Templeton, 1959). Equi-inclination Weissenberg photographs, layers 0 to 5, were taken with rotation about [110] at  $-102\pm2$  °C. of ordinary ammonia and at  $-160\pm2$  °C. of deutero-ammonia. The relative intensities were estimated visually by using multiple-film technique (four films) and comparing with an intensity scale. The data were corrected for the Lorentz and polarization effects. Because of the symmetry, equivalent reflections occur in several layers and permit good interlayer scaling.

The powder photographs (Debye-Scherrer type) were taken with the Weissenberg camera setup with some modifications of the film holder to get reflections of as high order as possible. By moving the film holder between each exposure it was possible to take a great number of photographs on the same film with a minimum disturbance of the film setting. Each powder picture was about 5 mm. wide, and the different pictures were immediately adjacent to one another. Exposures were located on the film in the following order: 1, quartz; 2, NH<sub>3</sub>; 3 and 4, NH<sub>3</sub> and ND<sub>3</sub> on top of each other; 5, ND<sub>3</sub>; 6, quartz; 7 and 8, NH<sub>3</sub>

<sup>\*</sup> This work was performed for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission.

<sup>†</sup> Permanent address: Institute of Chemistry, University of Uppsala, Uppsala, Sweden.

and ND<sub>3</sub> on top of each other; 9, NH<sub>3</sub>; 10, ND<sub>3</sub>; 11, quartz. The powders were obtained by cooling the liquids in the capillaries quickly down to liquid nitrogen temperature. Exposures 2 and 3 were taken with the same powder, different from 7 and 9. Similarly, in exposures 4 and 5 the powder was different from 8 and 10. The quartz calibration photographs were zero-layer rotation pictures of a single crystal of quartz at 25 °C. Apart from these exposures calibrated photographs were also taken of NH<sub>3</sub> at -102 °C. and of ND<sub>3</sub> at -160 °C. All X-ray work was done with Cu  $K\alpha$  and  $\beta$  radiation.

### Unit cell

The difference in unit-cell dimensions of NH<sub>3</sub> and ND<sub>3</sub> is such that the separation of their lines is comparable to the separation of Cu  $K\alpha_1$  and  $\alpha_2$ . The isotope effect is therefore plainly visible for the higher-order reflections (powder lines up to  $\theta = 80.5^{\circ}$  were obtained). The different individual values of the unit-cell dimensions, obtained from measurements of the higher-order reflections on which the accepted values are based, are given in Table 1 for the data from -196 °C. The

Table 1. Unit-cell dimension at -196 °C. determined from higher-order reflections

$h^2 + k^2 + l^2$	$a_{ m NH_3}$	$a_{ m ND_3}$
37, $\alpha_1$	5·0839 Å	5·0724 Å
37, $\alpha_2$	5.0839	5.0733
38, $\alpha_1$	5.0839	5.0739
38, $\alpha_2$	5.0827	5.0729
41,* $\alpha_1^{-}$	5.0835	5.0739
41, $\alpha_{2}$	5.0835	5.0737
42, $\alpha_1$	_	5.0725
42, $\alpha_2$		5.0729

\* 39 is impossible; 40 corresponds to  $\{026\}$ ,  $\{062\}$ , neither of which was observed on the single-crystal photographs.

values at the different temperatures may be summarized as follows:

NH<sub>3</sub>: 
$$a = 5 \cdot 138 \pm 0.002$$
 Å at  $-102 \pm 2$  °C.,  
 $5 \cdot 084 \pm 0.001$  Å at  $-196 \pm 1$  °C.,  
ND<sub>3</sub>:  $a = 5 \cdot 091 \pm 0.002$  Å at  $-160 \pm 2$  °C.,  
 $5 \cdot 073 \pm 0.001$  Å at  $-196 \pm 1$  °C.

(Cu  $K\alpha_1\lambda = 1.54051$  Å,  $\alpha_2\lambda = 1.54433$  Å,  $\beta\lambda = 1.39217$  Å, a = 4.913 Å for  $\alpha$  quartz at 25 °C.).

Vegard & Hillesund (1942) report an isotope effect of 0.010 Å, although the individual values for NH<sub>3</sub> and ND<sub>3</sub> (5.22(53) and 5.21(53) kX. resp.) differ quite considerably from the values in this investigation (see further below). With their method of obtaining the powder (condensing gaseous ammonia on a copper rod at -185 °C.) it is in principle possible that they got some of the new low-symmetric form (Mauer & McMurdie, 1958), but they do not mention how closely their lines fit cubic indexing.

Using the values of the investigation reported

herein, one calculates the mean linear expansion coefficient of NH<sub>3</sub> between -102 and -196 °C. to be  $11\cdot2\pm0\cdot5.10^{-5}/$ °C. and that of ND<sub>3</sub> between -160and -196 °C. to be  $9\cdot8\pm1\cdot0.10^{-5}/$ °C. McKelvy & Taylor (1923) report a mean linear expansion coefficient of  $7.10^{-5}/$ °C. for NH<sub>3</sub> in the interval -79 to -185 °C. based on the densities determined at those two temperatures. Using the value  $9\cdot8.10^{-5}/$ °C. as expansion coefficient between -160 and -196 °C. for NH<sub>3</sub>, and referring earlier published data to -196 °C., changing in all cases to Ångström units, one obtains the values collected in Table 2.

Table 2.	Reported	unit-cell	dimensions	of	$MH_3$
----------	----------	-----------	------------	----	--------

Temp.	a (kX.)	a (Å) at 196 °C.*	Reference
−185 °C.	5.19	5.19(5)	Mark & Pohland (1925)
-185	$5 \cdot 12$	5.12(5)	De Smedt (1925)
-170	5.08	5.07(7)	Natta & Casazza (1930)
- 185	5.22(53)	5-23(02)	Vegard & Hillesund (1942)
-196	—	5.084	This work

\* Calculated as described in the text.

## Space group

The data showed the diffraction symmetry of the cubic Laue group m3. Systematic absences were: h00, 0k0, 00lfor h, k, l odd (h, k, l=0 to 6). This suggests the space group  $P2_13(T^4)$ , but the space groups P23 and Pm3are also possible, if the absences are assumed to be caused by special arrangement of the atoms. It turns out to be impossible to get any reasonable trial structure in agreement with the data by using P23 or Pm3. The structure obtained by using  $P2_13$  gives good agreement with the data; this fact shows this choice to be correct. This space group is in agreement with the earlier results (de Smedt, 1925; Mark & Pohland, 1925). With four molecules per unit cell, the calculated density of NH<sub>3</sub> is 0.834 g.cm.<sup>-3</sup> at -102 °C. and 0.861at -196 °C., for ND<sub>3</sub> equal to 1.009 at -160 °C. and 1.020 at -196 °C. McKelvy & Taylor (1923) determined the density picnometrically to be 0.817 at -79 °C, and 0.836 at -185 °C. for NH<sub>3</sub>. The nitrogen atoms are then located on the threefold axes corresponding to the fourfold positions:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (x,\,x,\,x)\,; & (\frac{1}{2}+x,\,\frac{1}{2}-x,\,\overline{x})\,; \\ & (\overline{x},\,\frac{1}{2}+x,\,\frac{1}{2}-x)\,; & (\frac{1}{2}-x,\,\overline{x},\,\frac{1}{2}+x)\;. \end{array}$$

#### The nitrogen parameter

In the following calculations the single-crystal data of NH<sub>3</sub> at -102 °C. and ND<sub>3</sub> at -160 °C. were each used separately. Using a couple of reflections, one finds that the nitrogen coordinate x is close to 0.040. The coordinate and isotropic temperature factor for nitrogen and the scale factor were then refined by least-squares methods on the IBM 650 computer. In the first cycles only the temperature factor and

scale factor were refined and the coordinate kept constant.  $R_3$  (see below) was the quantity actually minimized. The weighting factor w in this expression and some other details of the program are described elsewhere (Olovsson & Templeton, 1959). The original 'L.S. II' program was modified for this special structure. One notices, for example, that the derivatives for atoms in special (fourfold) positions have to be formulated differently than those for atoms in general (twelvefold) positions. This is of course true also for other cubic, hexagonal, and tetragonal space groups when two or more of the coordinates x, y, z are equal by symmetry. The scattering factors for nitrogen  $(N^0)$ are those published by Berghuis et al. (1955). The shifts in the parameter x in the final cycles were less than 0.0001. The results, together with the standard deviations, after about 20 cycles of refinement were:

 $NH_3$  at -102 °C.:  $R_1 = \Sigma ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \Sigma |F_o| = 0.103$ ,  $R_2 = [\Sigma(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2 / \Sigma |F_o|^2]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.129$ ,  $R_3 = \left[ \sum w \left( |F_o| - |F_c| \right)^2 / \sum w |F_o|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} = 0.143 ,$  $x = 0.0401 \pm 0.0006; B = 1.84 \text{ Å}^2.$ 

ND<sub>3</sub> at -160 °C:  

$$R_1 = 0.071$$
,  
 $R_2 = 0.072$ ,  
 $R_3 = 0.081$ ,  
 $x = 0.0401 \pm 0.0005$ ;  $B = 2.85$  Å<sup>2</sup>

The observed and calculated structure factors are compared in Table 3. The reflections (002) and (111)appeared to suffer considerably from extinction effects in the case of  $NH_3$  and were not included in the final refinements for that compound.  $R_1$  when these reflections were included was 0.14. The crystal of ND<sub>3</sub> was somewhat less perfect (noticeable on the photographs), and the extinction effects accordingly seem to be quite small.

Finally, the scale factor, nitrogen temperature factor, and nitrogen coordinate were refined with each set of data with hydrogen included in the calculations. The hydrogen temperature factor was arbitrarily taken as 6.0 Å<sup>2</sup>. When hydrogen was placed on the lines between the nitrogen atoms at 1.01 Å from nitrogen (as in Fig. 1)  $R_1$  increased to 0.105 for NH<sub>3</sub> and to 0.077 for ND<sub>3</sub>. When the hydrogen coordinates (0.85, 0.14, 0.99) obtained from a difference synthesis as described below were used instead,  $R_1$  decreased to 0.097 for NH<sub>3</sub> and to 0.069 for ND<sub>3</sub>. The atomic coordinate of nitrogen did not change during these refinements; the temperature factor changed to 1.75 Å<sup>2</sup> for NH<sub>3</sub> and to  $2 \cdot 10$  Å<sup>2</sup> for ND<sub>3</sub>. The fact that the 'temperature factor' for  $ND_3$  (which was studied at a lower temperature) is larger than that for  $NH_3$  calls attention to the obvious fact that this parameter includes many effects other than that of the amplitude of thermal vibration.

	NI	$ m NH_3$		$ND_3$	
hkl		$ F_c $		$ F_c $	
002	10.7	15.2	15.4	14.8	
004	4.1	4.0	3.6	3.6	
006	< 0.9	0.2	< 0.2	0.2	
011	$5 \cdot 2$	$5 \cdot 3$	5.5	$5 \cdot 2$	
012	6.4	7.4	6.5	$7 \cdot 2$	
013	3.5	2.0	3.0	1.8	
014	< 1.1	0.4	0.6	0.3	
016	3.2	3.5	2.4	2.8	
021	1.4	1.9	1.3	1.9	
022	8.1	9.4	8.7	9.0	
023	3.1	2.9	2.4	2.7	
024	2.9	2.9	2.3	2.0	
026	< 0.7	$\frac{2}{0.2}$	< 0.3	0.1	
031	6.4	7.1	6.7	6.6	
032	2.8	$3 \cdot 1$	2.3	$2 \cdot 8$	
033	4.4	3.4	3.8	3.0	
034	3.5	3.2	2.7	2.7	
035	1.0	0.8	0.9	1.4	
042	3.0	2.9	2.6	2.6	
043	3.7	3.0	$2 \cdot 8$	$2 \cdot 6$	
044	1.1	1.2	0.9	1.0	
045	2.0	2.6	1.8	$2 \cdot 1$	
051	4·9 1.1	4.0	3·8	3.9	
052	2.7	2.7	2.3	2.2	
054	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.7	
061	1.1	0.9	0.2	0.7	
062	< 0.7	0.2	< 0.3	0.1	
111	10.9	17.0	16.8	17.2	
112	6.5	6.8	6.0	6·4	
114	1.8	1.7	1.5	1.5	
115	1.9	1.4	$1 \cdot 2$	$1 \cdot 2$	
116	1.0	0.9	0.7	0.7	
122	5.0	4.9	5.0	4.6	
123	2.7	4.9	2.2	4.0	
125	3.5	3.5	2.9	2.9	
126	0.9	0.7	0.5	0.6	
132	3.2	3.0	2.7	$2 \cdot 7$	
133	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.0	
134	3.3	2.9	2.0	2.4	
142	4.4	4.3	4·0	3.8	
143	2.1	1.9	1.6	1.6	
144	2.0	1.8	1.6	1.2	
145	1.5	1.6	$1 \cdot 2$	1.2	
152	2.2	2.0	1.8	1.0	
154	1.9	2.5	1.6	1.9	
162	2.3	2.8	1.9	2.2	
222	6.5	$6 \cdot 3$	5.8	5.9	
223	3.3	3.0	2.7	2.7	
224	$2 \cdot 6$	2.4	2.3	2.1	
225 222	2.0	1.7	1.6	1•4 9.≈	
233	3·2 2·8	2.5 2.6	2·0 2·1	2-0 9.9	
235	1.4	1.4	$\tilde{1}\cdot \hat{1}$	1.1	
243	2.7	$2 \cdot 4$	$2 \cdot 2$	2.1	
244	1.6	1.6	1.3	1.3	
253	2.0	2.2	1.7	1.7	
333 334	2·4 9.9	2·4 9.0	2·6 1.7	Z•1	
344	1.3	1.5	1.2	1.0	

Table 3. Observed and calculated structure factors

## Discussion of the structure

The structure can be roughly described as slightly deviating from face-centered cubic. If the nitrogen atoms were at x=0 and the ammonia molecules were spherically symmetric—e.g., rotating—the structure would be strictly close-packed. This would also imply no directed hydrogen bonds. However, in the structure under consideration, each nitrogen atom has only six closest neighbors, all at about 3.4 Å, and the other six have moved further away to about 3.9 Å (3.96 Å in NH<sub>3</sub>, 3.92 in ND<sub>3</sub>). The six closest neighbors to the nitrogen atom (N<sub>0</sub>) at (0.0401, 0.0401, 0.0401) are



Fig. 1. The crystal structure of cubic ammonia. Only the six closest neighbors to the atom at (0.04, 0.04, 0.04) are shown. The hydrogen atoms are for simplicity placed on the lines between the nitrogen atoms, although there is experimental evidence that they are somewhat off these lines.

shown in Fig. 1. Here  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $N_3$  are related to one another by the threefold axis passing through  $N_0$ , and similarly with  $N_4$ ,  $N_5$ , and  $N_6$ . The bond distances and angles are: The interpretation above is apparently inconsistent with inversion of the ammonia molecule of the type occurring in the gaseous state, as this would make the bonding situation (and therefore bond angles) to the neighbors  $N_1$ ,  $N_2$ , and  $N_3$  equivalent to those involving  $N_4$ ,  $N_5$ , and  $N_6$ . This is of course quite unlikely also when it is considered that, with all hydrogen atoms involved in hydrogen bonds, inversion of one ammonia molecule means breaking of hydrogen bonds and has to be accompanied by simultaneous inversion of all the other molecules throughout the crystal. Infrared investigations (Reding & Hornig, 1951) show no indication of inversion in the solid state.

It is evident that rotation around the threefold axis of the ammonia molecule also implies breaking of hydrogen bonds. Such rotation should therefore be quite restricted. Heat-capacity data from 15 °K. up to the melting point (Overstreet & Giauque, 1937) give no indication of any transition that would probably be demanded if relatively unrestricted rotation occurred above a certain temperature. The excellent agreement between the entropies calculated from calorimetric and spectroscopic data also rule out transition in the interval 0 to 15 °K., not covered by the heat-capacity measurements. In agreement with this, X-ray powder work by Mauer & McMurdie (1958) indicate no phase changes as the cubic form is cooled down to liquid helium temperature. Compare these results with the situation in ammonia hemihydrate at -95 °C. (Siemons & Templeton, 1954). Here one of the two nonequivalent ammonia molecules is involved in only one hydrogen bond and therefore has great rotational freedom. When this compound is cooled down, the heat-capacity curve (Hildenbrand & Giauque, 1953) indicates a transition at about 50 °K. This is probably due to transformation to another structure in which the restriction to rotation of this ammonia molecule is much stronger, e.g., because its hydrogen atoms are involved in hydrogen bonds.

Ammonia might seem to be a favorable case in which to try to find the hydrogen atoms in the Fourier maps, e.g.,  $F_o$  or  $(F_o - F_c)$  syntheses based on the

	for NH <sub>3</sub> at $-102$ °C.	for ND <sub>3</sub> at $-160$ °C.
$N_0N_1 = N_0N_2 \cdot \cdot \cdot N_0N_6$	$3.380 \pm 0.004 \text{ Å}$	$3.349 \pm 0.003$ Å
$N_2N_0N_1 = N_3N_0N_1 = N_3N_0N_2$	$118.05^{\circ} \pm 0.06^{\circ}$	$118.05^{\circ} \pm 0.05^{\circ}$
$N_5N_0N_4 = N_6N_0N_4 = N_6N_0N_5$	$71.66^\circ \pm 0.19^\circ$	$71.66^\circ \pm 0.16^\circ$

One notices that the angles involving the first three neighbors are fairly close to the tetrahedral value. The most obvious interpretation of these facts is to assign the hydrogen atoms to nitrogen as in Fig. 1. The free electron pair is then used to form three hydrogen bonds. This may explain the long hydrogen bonds. This is analogous to the interpretation of the structure of ammonia monohydrate (Olovsson & Templeton, 1959), according to which one free-electron pair of the water molecule is used to form three hydrogen bonds,  $O \cdots N-H$ , of 3.21, 3.26, and 3.29 Å.

nitrogen atoms only. However, the structure is noncentric, and the phases based on the nitrogen atoms only are obviously not quite correct in general. Only reflections for which one of the indices (h, k, l) is equal to zero get just the correct phase. Also, the unit cell is small, which means that there are very few reflections of low enough  $\sin \theta/\lambda$  that the hydrogen contribution to the scattering is of importance. It was therefore somewhat surprising that the difference maps showed very definite maxima close to those places expected for the hydrogen atoms according to the discussion



Fig. 2. Electron-density section (based on nitrogen only) along (111) passing through the origin. The atoms marked  $N_7$ ,  $N_8$ , and  $N_9$  are three of the six next nearest neighbors at 3.9 Å from  $N_0$ . The solid contours are drawn at 1, 2, 3, ... e.Å<sup>-3</sup>. Dotted contours correspond to  $\frac{1}{2}$  e.Å<sup>-3</sup>.



Fig. 3. Difference synthesis corresponding to Fig. 2. The dotted contours correspond to  $\frac{1}{2}$  e.Å<sup>-3</sup>.

above. No other peaks as large as these appeared in the whole three-dimensional difference synthesis. Some small extra maxima in the  $F_o$  synthesis thus turned out to be diffraction effects. Fig. 2 shows the electrondensity section (based on nitrogen only) along (111) passing through the origin; Fig. 3 shows the corresponding difference synthesis. The maps shown are based on the data for ND<sub>3</sub>, but the data for NH<sub>3</sub> give just the same features. This section passes approximately through the places where the hydrogen atoms of N<sub>0</sub> should be. The bonds between N<sub>0</sub> and its closest neighbors N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 3), when looked upon from

the side (looking perpendicular to the threefold axis), appear just like the bonds shown between N1, N2, N3 and  $N_7$ ,  $N_8$ ,  $N_9$ . The hydrogen peaks are obviously somewhat off the lines between the nitrogen atoms. The hydrogen atoms shown in Fig. 1 are, for simplicity, placed just on the lines connecting the nitrogen atoms, at 1.01 Å from N<sub>0</sub>. The angles N<sub>2</sub>N<sub>0</sub>N<sub>1</sub>, N<sub>3</sub>N<sub>0</sub>N<sub>1</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>N<sub>0</sub>N<sub>3</sub> were given earlier as 118·1°. The angles between the threefold axis passing through  $N_0$  and the lines  $N_0N_1$ ,  $N_0N_2$ ,  $N_0N_3$  respectively are 98.1°. The ammonia molecule would obviously be quite distorted if the hydrogen atoms were located on the connecting lines. Using instead the hydrogen coordinates obtained from the difference map (0.85, 0.14, 0.99), one calculates the angles  $HN_0H'$  to be 107° and the angles between the threefold axis and the lines  $N_0H$  to be 111°. These angles are much closer to the tetrahedral value. and strengthen the confidence in these hydrogen coordinates. The nitrogen-hydrogen distance (1.13 Å for  $NH_3$ , 1.12 Å for  $ND_3$ ) is considerably longer than the distance reported in the gas phase, 1.008 Å (Tables of interatomic distances, 1958), but somewhat longer distance is of course to be expected in the hydrogenbonded solid.

The Fourier calculations were made with the IBM-701 computer at the University of California Computer Center.

A travel grant (to I.O.) from the Swedish Natural Science Research Council is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOP-STRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAHL, A. L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.
- DE SMEDT, J. (1925). Bull. Classe Sci., Acad. Roy. Belg. 11, 655.
- HILDENBRAND, D. L. & GIAUQUE, W. F. (1953). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 75, 2811.

MARK, H. & POHLAND, E. (1925). Z. Kristallogr. 61, 532.

- MAUER, F. A. & MCMURDIE, H. F. (1958). Reports from the meeting of the American Crystallographic Association in Milwaukee, June, 1958.
- MCKELVY, E. C. & TAYLOR, C. S. (1923). National Bureau of Standards Bulletin, 465, 688.
- NATTA, G. & CASAZZA, E. (1930). Gazz. Chim. Ital. 60, 851.
- OLOVSSON, I. & TEMPLETON, D. H. (1959). Acta Cryst. 12, 827.
- OVERSTREET, R. & GIAUQUE, W. F. (1937). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 59, 254.
- REDING, F. P. & HORNIG, D. F. (1951). J. Chem. Phys. 19, 594.
- SIEMONS, W. J. & TEMPLETON, D. H. (1954). Acta Cryst. 7, 194.
- Tables of interatomic distances and configuration in molecules and ions (1958). London: The Chemical Society, Burlington House, W. 1.
- VEGARD, L. & HILLESUND, S. (1942). Avh. Norske Vidensk. Akad. No. 8.